UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,561	06/02/2006	Katsuhiro Ando	062554	5391
38834 7590 03/23/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			LOEWE, ROBERT S	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/581,561	ANDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT LOEWE	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2008.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/9/08. 5) ☑ Other:						
1 apor 110(0)/1111ain Date 10/0/00.						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments have caused the previously relied upon prior art rejections to be withdrawn. However, new grounds of rejection are made below; the new rejections being a result of Applicants amendments to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto et al. (WO-30/11978). For convenience, the English-language equivalent US Pat. 7,115,695 will be relied upon.

Claims 2 and 4-8: Okamoto et al. teaches a curable composition comprising a silicongroup terminated polyoxypropylene polymer (EP505S, Table 1), laurylamine, which is a primary amine having a melting point of greater than 20 degrees C, an epoxy resin (Epikote 828, Table

Art Unit: 1796

1), water, and calcium carbonate fillers (Table 1). The amounts of these ingredients satisfy those required by instant claims 2 and 4. Okamoto et al. further teaches that when an epoxy resin is present, a curing agent of the epoxy resin may be added (20:30-44) in amounts which satisfy instant claim 1 (20:45-53). Okamoto et al. teaches that the curing agent of the epoxy resin includes 2,4,6-tris(dimethylaminomethyl)phenol (20:36-37). Okamoto et al. further teaches the addition of silane coupling agents (21:6), which may be present in amounts required by instant claim 1 (Example 5, Table 2). Okamato et al. either directly teaches or suggests every ingredient required by instant claim 1. Okamoto et al. also directly teaches or suggests that the amount employed for each ingredient falls within the ranges of instant claim 1. While the teachings of Okamoto et al. do not warrant a case of anticipation regarding instant claim 1, such a teaching is nevertheless obvious. Specifically, starting with the compositions in Table 1, a person having ordinary skill in the art would only need to add a curing agent for the epoxy resin which Okamoto et al. explicitly teaches, and further add a silane adhesion promoter, which Okamoto et al. explicitly teaches in another preferred embodiment to arrive at the instant claimed invention. Last, Okamoto et al. teaches that the curable compositions therein may serve as coating/sealing materials (21:17-32).

Claim 3: While Okamoto et al. does not explicitly teach the claimed viscosity and structural viscosity index required by instant claim 3, Okamoto et al. is cognizant about obtaining workable viscosities (15:44-48). It is very well known that adjusting the viscosity of a composition a within the realm of routine experimentation. A person having ordinary skill in the art appreciates the processing difficulties which may arise should the viscosity of the curable composition be too high or too low. Further, since Okamoto et al. teaches compositions

Art Unit: 1796

comprising the same claimed ingredients, it is believed that the compositions taught by Okamoto et al. would have the same physical properties as claimed.

Relevant Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon but is considered pertinent to applicants disclosure can be found on the attached PTO-892 form.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,561 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert Loewe whose telephone number is (571) 270-3298. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 5:30 AM to 3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. L./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

18-Mar-09

/Randy Gulakowski/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796